

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/442,727	11/18/1999	SADAHARU SATO	450100-02171	6321	
20999	7590 04/30/2004		EXAMINER		
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL.			VAUGHAN, MICHAEL R		
NEW YORK,			ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2131	16	
		. :	DATE MAILED: 04/30/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			70		
9	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/442,727	SATO, SADAHARU			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	-		
	Michael R Vaughan	2131			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	SS		
THE REPLY FILED 15 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this and the second abandonment of this are also an endmer	application. A proper reply nt which places the applicat	to a ion in		
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data	nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS (date of the final rejection. OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See	MPEP		
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ision and the corresponding amound d statutory period for reply originally	at of the fee. The appropriate extensions at in the final Office action; or (2)	sion fee under as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		•			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:				
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or sea	arch (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal b	y materially reducing or sim	nplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding numb	er of finally rejected claims	i.		
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely filed a	amendment		
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Second		n considered but does NOT	place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SO	LELY to issues which were	newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			nd an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapprove	ed by the Examiner.			
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme		-			
10. Other:	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	- ()			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner has reconsidered the cited prior art and maintains the USC 103 rejection. Examiner finds support for this rejection in Szczutkowski and Cookson. In response to applicant's assertion that Szczutkowski does not include enciphering information, examiner notes that enciphering information does not necessarily mean data which has been enciphered. Rather it could be interpreted to mean an indication of some kind that provides information about enciphered data. Cookson teaches including information about the enciphered data which is present on the RAM disk for example (see column 4, lines 20-25). This information is equivalent to the claim's "enciphering information". Claim 1 for example does not explicitly state what the enciphered data comprises. Also Cookson teaches the information is included on the media which contains data that is encrypted. DVDs are known to contain encrypted data. In response to applicant's assertion that Cookson does not teach enciphered data, examiner maintains that DVD used in Cookson's system are well known to include enciphered data. Therefore it is inherent that enciphered data is present. Further more Szczutkowski teaches enciphering data (column 3, line 10). To summarize, the combined teachings of Szczutkowski and Cookson teach all of the limitations of the independent claims 1, 3, 5, 6, and 8.

AYAZ SHEIKH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

2